

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,604	09/761,604 01/16/2001		David Edward Caldwell	CO2-2	5820	
20808	7590	05/25/2004		EXAMINER		
BROWN &		,	KINDRED, ALFORD W			
400 M & T 1 118 NORTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ITHACA, N	NY 14850)	2172	n		
•				DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L

-		Ammi	lection No.	Applicant(a)				
Office Action Summary			ication No.	Applicant(s)				
			61,604	SUNADA, MAKOTO				
			niner	Art Unit				
			d W. Kindred	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. sto) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be to ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) do and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>15 March 2</i>	004.					
• —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	OTO 0481	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or rr No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/761,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communication: Amendment B, filed on 3/15/04.
 This action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tavor, US# 2001/0032077 A1, in view of Dicker et al., US 2003/0105682 A1.

As per claims 1, 3 and 13-14, Tavor teaches "developing feature text snippets for each feature, the snippets being phrases to be used when describing . . . product features" (see abstract) "dynamically generated fluent text that is used to convey product analyses . . ." (see page 4, paragraphs [0051]-[0054]) "developing user profile text snippets . . . generic phrases . . ." (see page 4, paragraphs [0049]-[0052]). Tavor does not teach "user profile text snippets produces personalized recommendation for the product featuring dynamically generated fluent text . . . analysis and recommendation tailored to the user requirements and preferences." Dicker et al. teaches "user profile text snippets produces personalized recommendation for the product featuring dynamically generated fluent text . . . analysis and recommendation

Application/Control Number: 09/761,604

Art Unit: 2172

tailored to the user requirements and preferences" (see page 6, paragraphs [0071]-[0076]). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Tavor and Dicker above, because using the steps of "user profile text snippets produces personalized recommendation for the product featuring dynamically generated fluent text . . . analysis and recommendation tailored to the user requirements and preferences", would have given those skilled in the art the tools to expedite the recommendation process of product being compared for users based on the corresponding profile.

As per claims 5, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

--Tavor teaches "testing the user profile text snippets" (see page 5, paragraphs [0057]-[0059]).

As per claim 6, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

-- Tavor teaches "providing access to the product recommendation . . ." (see page 4, paragraphs [0058]-[0059]).

As per claim 7, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

-- Tavor teaches "a computer network . . . " (see abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/761,604

Art Unit: 2172

Page 4

As per claims 8-12, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to arguments given above for rejected claims 1-7 and are similarly rejected including the following:

--Tavor teaches "display and explanation of a comparison between several products" (see page 4, paragraphs [0050]-[0051])

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, and 5-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US# 2002/0019763 A1; US# 2002/0103692 A1.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 703-305-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner

Tech Ctr. 2100